Algal and cyanobacterial diversity in saline rivers of the Elton Lake Basin (Russia) studied via light microscopy and next-generation sequencing
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Introduction

Water bodies with naturally high levels of salinity are widely distributed on all continents of the globe and are the objects of numerous scientific studies (Heidelberg et al., 2013; Afonina & Tashlykova, 2016). The increased attention paid to saline water bodies is primarily due to the development of specific biota in them, represented by halotolerant and brackish Bolshaya Samoroda River demonstrated the need to use an integrated approach, including both morphological and molecular methods (Selivanova et al., 2019). An integrated approach allows us to overcome certain shortcomings of the morphological method, which are pointed out by a number of authors, and which can affect the final result of the work (Zhan et al., 2013; Manoylov, 2014, 2016; Groendahl et al., 2017). For example, researchers often face difficulties in identifying small-cell species of algae (Belevich et al., 2015); species diversity is often underestimated or overestimated due to phenotypic variability (Kulikovskiy et al., 2014; Rivera et al., 2018a, b). DNA barcoding is a modern approach, which can make it possible to solve this problem (Kim et al., 2014; Belevich et al., 2015; Medlin, 2019). Currently, the number of studies using molecular-based methods to assess the species richness and diversity of natural assemblages of autotrophic protists from different types of habitats is growing exponentially (Gao et al., 2018; Palinska et al., 2018; Wangenstein et al., 2018). Techniques of next-generation sequencing are considered as the most promising (Groen Dahl et al., 2017; Oliveira et al., 2018; Pawlowski et al., 2018). In this study, we made an attempt to assess the diversity and assemblage structure of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the two saline rivers of the Elton Lake Basin (Malaya Samo-

Naturally saline rivers are known in various regions of the world. Saline rivers with a salinity gradient from the source to the mouth are particularly interesting, because the range of salinity is the structure-forming factor of the hydrobiont assemblage. Such rivers are represented by saline rivers of the Elton Lake Basin in Volgograd region of Russia (the Bolshaya Samoroda River and the Malaya Samoroda River). Herein, we analyzed taxonomic structure and species diversity of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the saline rivers flowing into the Elton Lake by light microscopy and next-generation sequencing. The difficulties and possible causes of inconsistencies in the results obtained by these methods are discussed. In total, 91 taxa of microorganisms were identified by integrated approach in the assemblages of microalgae and Cyanobacteria in the middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River, and 60 taxa – in the river mouth. The species diversity of these assemblages in the hypersaline Malaya Samoroda River was lower: 27 taxa from the middle course and 23 taxa from the mouth. Next-generation sequencing allowed us to refine and expand the list of microalgae taxa in the studied saline rivers due to detection of species which were hard to identify, low-abundance taxa, as well as extremely small-cell forms. Some discrepancies between the data obtained by light microscopy and next-generation sequencing indicate the advantage of simultaneous use of both methods for study of the algae communities. Such a comprehensive approach provides the most accurate and correct list of taxa added with the morphological descriptions and 18S rRNA and 16S rRNA partial sequences. Generally, 18 taxa have been recorded for the first time in the Bolshaya Samoroda River, belonging to the phyla Chlorophyta (Borodinellopsis sp., Chlorochytrium lemnae sp., Chrysolepidomonas sp.), Euglenozoa (Euglena bucharica, Lepocinclis triperis, Phacus orbicularis), Cryptophyta (Hemiselmis cryp-
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roda River, Bolshaya Samoroda River) by light microscopy (LM) and next-generation sequencing (NGS).

Materials and methods

Water samples were taken in the middle course and mouth of Malaya Samoroda River and Bolshaya Samoroda River in August 2014. Due to the significant shallowing in summer, no samples were taken in the upper course of the rivers. The Malaya Samoroda and Bolshaya Samoroda Rivers flow into the largest hypersaline lake in Europe – Lake Elton (Lake Elton Biosphere Reserve, Russia, a UNESCO World Heritage site) (Fig. 1). These rivers are shallow lowland watercourses with slow current (0.01 < Di < 0.1). The Bolshaya Samoroda River has a length of 21–24 km, the catchment area is 130.0 km², the channel is 6.0–35.0 m wide, the depth varies from 0.10 to 0.70 m (Gusakov, 2019). The salinity level of the river (at the time of sampling) increased from the middle course (10 ppt) to the mouth (19 ppt). The length of the Malaya Samoroda River is 10.3 km, the catchment area is 48.7 km², the channel is 15.0–50.0 m wide, and the depth is 0.05–0.25 m (Gusakov, 2019). The salinity level (at the time of sampling) both in the middle course and in the mouth was constant and reached 85 ppt. Salinity was measured using a Master S-28a portable refractometer (Atago, Japan). The Bolshaya Samoroda River was classified as mixohaline (middle course – mesohaline, mouth – polyhaline), the Malaya Samoroda River is hypersaline according to the Venice system (1958).

Fig. 1. Scheme of the Elton Lake Basin with sampling sites marked with red dots (Bolshaya Samoroda River: middle course 49.208889° N, 46.941111° E, river mouth 49.283333° N, 47.036944° E; Malaya Samoroda River: middle course 49.146648° N, 46.676354° E, river mouth 49.096050° N, 46.731250° E)

Water samples of 0.5 L were fixed with a solution of 40% formaldehyde. The treatment of the samples was performed according to the methods generally accepted in hydrobiology (Vasser et al., 1989). For algae identification the articles (Massjuk & Lilitska 2006; Hasler et al., 2012; Komarek et al., 2014) and qualifiers (Tsarenko, 1990; Krammer & Lange-Bertalot, 1986–1991; Krakhmalny, 2011) were used. Taxonomy and nomenclature are given according to the on-line database Algaebase (Guiry & Guiry, 2021).

Algal and cyanobacterial cells were counted in a Nageotte Counting Chamber (Assistant, Germany) with a volume of 125 mm³ at 400× magnification under the light microscopes “Axioskop plus”, “Axioskop” (Carl Zeiss, Germany). Dominant species were determined based on their occurrence and abundance according to the dominance index (Di) (Shitikov et al., 2003). At the same time, the dominant species were those whose dominance index values varied within 10 < Di < 100, subdominant – 1 < Di < 10, first-order subdominants – 0.1 < Di < 1 and secondary members – 0.01 < Di < 0.1. The comparison of the diversity of microalgae and Cyanobacteria was carried out using the interactive tool Venny 2.1 (Liu et al., 2016). Micrographs of the dominant algae species were performed using light and phase-contrast microscopy at the “Axiocam” digital camera (Carl Zeiss, Germany) at 400× and 1000× magnification.

Water samples of 500 mL were taken and filtered through membranes with 0.45 μm pore size. Total genomic DNA was isolated from the filters by a combined method, including mechanical homogenization and chemical extraction (Liu et al., 2009) in modification of Bel’kova et al. (2008). A lysing matrix E (MP Biomedicals, USA) and 400 μL of Tris-salt buffer (20 mM EDTA, 750 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) were added in every sample. The samples were homogenized in Tissuc Lyser LT (QIAGEN, Germany) for 1 min at 50 Hz. Then 50 μL of a sterile lysis buffer with lysozyme (50 μg/mL) were added and the samples were incubated for 60 min at 37 °C, followed by addition of 10 μL proteinase K (10 mg/mL) and 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate up to 1% in a final volume. The mixtures were incubated for 60 min at 60 °C. After extraction with phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1), DNA in the aqueous phase was precipitated overnight at −20 °C with threefold volume of anhydrous ethanol and 10 M ammonium acetate added up to 10% of a final volume. The DNA was centrifuged and double washing with 90% ethanol, DNA was air-dried and dissolved in autoclaved MQ water. To assess contamination during DNA extracting, a negative control containing 100 μL of autoclaved MQ water was subjected to the same procedure. DNA was checked with electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gel. The DNA concentration was quantified using Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, USA) with dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay (Life Technologies, USA).

Sequencing was performed on a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina, USA) using MiSeq Reagent v3 reagent kit for paired-end sequencing 2×300 bp in the Center of Shared Scientific Equipment "PERSISTENCE of Microorganisms" of the Institute for Cellular and Intracellular Symbiosis of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

Paired-end reads were merged with a minimal overlap of 40 bp and a p-value of 0.0001 using PEAR v. 0.9.10 (Zhang et al., 2014). Evaluation of the filtering quality was carried out with FastQC v.0.11.7. Quality filtering and amplicon size selection (300 bp – minimal size for the 18S rRNA gene, 420 bp – minimal size for the 16S rRNA gene) were conducted using USEARCH v.9 (Edgar, 2013). During the filtering reads with Ns or an overall mean, Q-score <20 were discarded. As a result of dereplication and clustering with USEARCH, operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were formed, while singlets and doubletons were removed. OTUs were clustered using a similarity threshold of 97% between sequences to classify microorganisms at the species level. Chimeric sequences were detected and removed using USEARCH during the clustering phase.

Results

Morphological and genetic diversity of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the Bolshaya Samoroda River. 91 taxa of microorganisms were identified in the assemblage of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River while using an integrated approach. Of this number, 87 taxa were autotrophic protists belonging to five phyla: Bacillariophyta (6 species and infraspecies taxa), Chlorophyta (4 species), Cryptophyta (1), Ochrophyta (1), Miozoa (1).

Morphological and genetic diversity of microalgae of the Bolshaya Samoroda River. According to the results of morphological analysis, representatives of only three phyla were found: Bacillariophyta (24 taxa identified in the assemblage of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River while using an integrated approach. Of this number, 87 taxa were autotrophic protists belonging to five phyla: Bacillariophyta (6 species and infraspecies taxa), Chlorophyta (4 species), Cryptophyta (1), Ochrophyta (1), Miozoa (1).

The taxonomic composition of the microalgae and Cyanobacteria assemblage of the river mouth significantly differed from those of the middle course. 60 taxa of microorganisms (48 taxa of microalgae and 12 – Cyanobacteria) were identified in the assemblage of microalgae and Cyanobacteria from the mouth of the river. The LM method revealed 13 taxa belonging to 5 phyla: Bacillariophyta (6 species and infraspecies taxa), Chlorophyta (4 species), Cryptophyta (1), Ochrophyta (1), Miozoa (1). The DNA libraries from the sample of river mouth included 45 OTUs corresponding to phyla: Bacillariophyta (18 OTUs), Chlorophyta (10 OTUs), Cryptophyta (9 OTUs), Ochrophyta (3 OTUs), Miozoa (3 OTUs), Haptophyta (1 OTU), Chromista phylum incertae sedis (1 OTU Fig. 2, 3).

Each of the methods used indicated that the representative of the phylum Cryptophyta (99.5% of the total abundance of algae according LM and 58.7% of the total number of reads according NGS) was predominant in the assemblage of microalgae of the mouth of the Bolshaya Samoroda River. In addition, according to the results of the NGS, the dominant complex of the river mouth included small-cells algae from the phylum Chlorophyta, identified with a similarity of 99.8% as Nannochloris sp. (JQ315647.1). They were not detected microscopically. Among the subdominant sequences the Chaeotoceros sp. (EF473734.1; similarity 99.8%), Chaeotocerus sp.1 (KX699786.1; similarity 99.8%) and unclassified Chromista (Chromista phylum incertae sedis) were marked. They were also not detected by morphological analysis.

Morphological and genetic diversity of Cyanobacteria of the Bolshaya Samoroda River. According to the results of morphological analysis, two taxa from the phylum Cyanobacteria – Synchocystis sp. and Johannseniina constrictum (Szaller) Hasler, Dvorák & Pouliková were found in the water samples of the middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River. The assemblage of Cyanobacteria of the river mouth was more diverse and included Oscillatoria sp., Oscillatoria sp. 1, Lyngbya confervoides C. Agardh ex Gornont, Anaibaena sphaerica T. conodea Elenkin.
According to the NGS data, as well as the LM results, the DNA libraries of the water samples of the mouth of the river was distinguished by a large number of OTUs. 8 OTUs were identified. Five of them were classified as representatives from the order Synechococcales of the family Synechococcaceae and three sequences were identified only at the phylum level. The DNA libraries of the water samples of the middle course included only two unclassified representatives of Cyanobacteria.

Morphological and genetic diversity of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the Malaya Samoroda River. The algal assemblage of the middle course of the Malaya Samoroda River was represented by 27 taxa, 23 of which were autotrophic protists and 4 – Cyanobacteria. 10 taxa ranked below the genus from the phyla Chlorophyta (8 species) and Bacillariophyta (2), as well as 4 taxa of Cyanobacteria were found by LM method. The genetic diversity of microalgae of the middle course of the Malaya Samoroda River was slightly higher. The DNA libraries of the water samples of the middle course included 20 OTUs belonging to the phyla Chlorophyta (17 OTUs, 99.9% of the total number of reads), Bacillariophyta (2 OTUs) and Cryptophyta (1 OUT, Fig. 5). Only one sequence corresponding to the phylum Cyanobacteria was found with NGS. The closest homologues of this OTU with a similarity 99.0% in the GenBank database were the sequences deposited: Geitlerinema sp. SAS11146 (KX359357.1) and Phormidium sp. CI08AO (KY363612.1).

It should be noted that the species of algae of the genus *Tetraselmis* F. Stein, *Asteromonas* A. Artari, *Dunaliella* Teodoresco and also *Anagnostidinema amphibium* (C. Agardh ex Gomont) Strunecký, Bohunická, J. R. Johansen (=Phormidium amphibium (C. Agardh ex Gomont) Anagnostidis & Komárek, Geitlerinema amphibiun (C. Agardh ex Gomont) Anagnostidis) were found simultaneously by both methods.

Representatives of Chlorophyta were the most abundant in the algal assemblage of the middle course of the Malaya Samoroda River (Fig. 4a). The dominant complex, according to LM data, included *Tetraselmis arnoldii* (Proschkina-Lavrenko) R. E. Norris, Hori & Chihara, *T. tetrathele* (West) Butcher, *Tetraselmis* sp., which together made up 89.4% of the total abundance of the algal assemblage. The group of subdominants was formed by *T. cordiformis* (H. J. Carter) F. Stein and *T. contracta* (N. Carter) Butcher. Similar results were obtained by the NGS method. More than a half (12 OTUs) of the total number of OTUs belonged to the genus *Tetraselmis* (Chlorophyta). At the same time, the absolute predominance (96.9% of the total number of series) of the sequence whose closest homologue in the GenBank database (NCBI) is *T. indica* Arora & Anil (HQ651184.3, 100% identity) was noted.

The assemblage of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the mouth of the river didn’t differ significantly from the middle course. 24 taxa of microorganisms were found in the algae flora of the river mouth. Among them, 20 taxa were accounted by microalgae of the phyla Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta and 4 – Cyanobacteria. Here, the representatives of Chlorophyta that belonged to the genus *Tetraselmis*, as in the algal assemblage of the middle course of the river, were the most abundant. According to LM data, the dominant complex included *T. cordiformis*, *T. arnoldii*, *T. tetrathele*, *Chlamydomonas* sp. is noted as a subdominant. According to the NGS, 96.0% of the total number of reads accounted for the sequence whose closest homologue is *T. indica* (HQ651184.3).
Comparison of the species diversity of algae flora of the Malaya Samoroda River and Bolshaya Samoroda River. Despite the territorial proximity and similar climatic conditions, significant differences in the mineralization level probably determined the specificity of the taxonomic structure and species diversity of the algal communities of the studied watercourses. In general, less species diversity with a pronounced dominance of halophilic species was characterized by the assemblage of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the Malaya Samoroda River. The comparative analysis showed that only six taxa (Chlamydomonas raudensis Ettl, Chaetoceros sp., H. phi, Dunaliella sp., Nannochloris sp., T. cordiformis (LM)/T. indica (NGS)) were common to the algae flora of the studied rivers, and only three of them (Dunaliella sp., Nannochloris sp., T. cordiformis (LM)/T. indica (NGS)) were registered at each point of sampling (Fig. 6).

Compared to the previously reported data (Burkova, 2012, 2015; Gorokhova & Zinchenko, 2014; Yatsenko-Stepanova et al., 2015; Selivanov et al., 2019) our study revealed new taxa for the algae flora of the saline Elton rivers. Thus, 18 taxa belonging to the phyla Chlorophyta (5), Ochrophyta (4), Euglenozoa (4), Cryptophyta (3), Haptophyta (1), Cyanobacteria (1) have been recorded in the Bolshaya Samoroda River for the first time. Seven taxa have been detected for the first time in the algal and cyanobacterial assemblages of the Malaya Samoroda River from the phyla Chlorophyta (4), Cryptophyta (1), and Cyanobacteria (2) (Table 1).

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>LM</th>
<th>NGS</th>
<th>Closest homologue (accession no.) in the GenBank database</th>
<th>Similarity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euglena bucharica L. Kásek</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepocinclis tripteris (Dujardin) B. Marin &amp; Melkonian</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phacus orbicularis K. Hübner</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph. parvus G. A. Klebs</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudocharaciopsis ovalis (Chodat) D. J. Hibbers</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characiopsis sp.</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ch. acutissima (KY271645.1) 99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poteriococchium stipitatum Scherffel</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Poteriococchium stipitatum (MH356657.1) 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysochromulina sp.</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Chrysochromulina dendrolophila (AF123297.1) 97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavlova sp.</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pavlova grunifera 97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiselmis cryptochromatica C. E. Lane &amp; J.M. Archibald</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Hemiselmis cryptochromatica (KY980198.1) 99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodomonas sp.</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Rhodomonas atrorosus (MG027591.1) 99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanassia phi J. A. Deane</td>
<td>M.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Hanassia phi (M53126.1) 99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borodinellispis sp.</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Borodinellispis tenuis (KM020529.1) 94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella limnaea Cohn</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Chlorella limnaea (HE860249.1) 98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesiothrix sp.</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Caesiothrix palustris (LN870281.1) 99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halochlorococcus sp.</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Halochlorococcus parvus (DQ625120.2) 99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracyselis cordiformis (H. J. Carter) F. Stein</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tetracyselis sp. MA-2011 (T. indica) (H651814.1) 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. reticulata (Prosikha-Lavenko) R. E. Norris, Hori &amp; Chihara</td>
<td>M.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tetracyselis sp. MA-2011 (T. indica) (H651814.1) 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. tetrahedra (West) Butcher</td>
<td>M.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tetracyselis sp. MA-2011 (T. indica) (H651814.1) 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrobolus elongatus Koeshik</td>
<td>M.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pyrobolus elongatus (LC093458.1) 99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscillatoria simplicissima Gomont</td>
<td>M.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synecococcus elongatus (Nageli) Nageli</td>
<td>M.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphanocapsa constricta (Zanell) Hasler, Dvorá &amp; Pouliková</td>
<td>B.S.R., m.c.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: B.S.R. – Bolshaya Samoroda River, M.S.R. – Malaya Samoroda River, m.c. – middle course, m. – mouth, LM – light microscopy, NGS – next-generation sequencing.

**Discussion**

This study represents one of the first comparative analyses of taxonomic structure and species diversity of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the saline Elton rivers employing both morphology-based and molecular methods. It should be noted that in all the samples that we studied the genetic diversity significantly exceeded the diversity estimated by LM method. This is also indicated by other authors who conducted studies using the traditional morphological method and high-throughput sequencing (Grendahl et al., 2017; Rivera et al., 2018a, b; Gao et al., 2018). One of the reasons for the observed differences may be the high sensitivity of the NGS method, which allows detection of low-abundance taxa (Grendahl et al., 2017). Thus, only four genera of microorganisms were registered by both methods in the assemblage of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the middle course of the Malaya Samoroda River, while Achnanthidium sp., Chaetoceros sp., Chlamydomonas raudensis Ettl, Pyrobolus elongatus, Nannochloris sp., H. phi were identified only according to NGS. The abundance of these taxa was small and didn’t exceed 0.1% of reads. Similarly, Pedinellales sp., Pseudocharaciopsis ovalis (Chodat) D. J. Hibberd, Poteriococchium stipitatum, Halochlorococcus sp., Pavlova sp., Chromonema sp. in the algal assemblage of the middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River were revealed only by NGS. The abundance of these species didn’t exceed 0.1% of reads. In addition, as noted earlier, the NGS method is effective in detecting small-cell forms of the picoplankton fraction (Belevich et al., 2015; Rivera et al., 2018a). Detection and identification of picociliate (0.2–2.0 µm) by the morphological method can be difficult or impossible due to their extremely small size, which ultimately leads to an underestimation of the species diversity of the algae flora of the waterbody (Belevich et al., 2015). Our results are consistent with the data described above. For example, the picoplankton alga Nannochloris sp. was detected by us in each sample only with NGS and was not found...
microscopically. At the same time, it should be noted that the Euglenozoa registered in the assemblage of microalgae of the middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River by morphological analysis were not detected by high-throughput sequencing. This discrepancy may be due to the use of universal primers, which (compared to selective primer pairs) due to insufficient coverage reveal only half of the OTUs (Lentenda et al., 2014; Selivanova et al., 2019). In addition, the use of universal primers does not allow for a clear differentiation of species within the genus (Arora et al., 2013). So, for example, DNA libraries of the water samples of the middle course and the mouth of the Malaya Samoroda River included a sequence identified as Dunaliella sp. The closest homologues of this OTU with a similarity 99.0% in the GenBank database were the sequences such as Dunaliella primolecta strain SAG 183.80 (KR607494.1), D. salina strain SAG 184.80 (KR607493.1), D. tertiolecta strain SAG 13.86 (EF473737.1), D. parva strain SAG 19-1 (DQ009763.1). For the same reason, 12 OTUs in the DNA libraries of the water samples of the middle course and the mouth of the Malaya Samoroda River were identified only as Tetracelminis sp.

It is also noteworthy that the complex of dominant and subdominants of the algal assemblage of the middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River, characterized by the NGS, significantly differed from the similar one estimated by the LM. Thalassiosira sp. predominated in the algal assemblage according to the results of the NGS. Whereas according to the LM data, pennate diatoms (H. capitata, T. hungarica) dominated, and centric forms were represented by single specimens. The revealed differences probably may be related with the detection of "extracellular" DNA extracted from dead cells and capable of persisting for a long time in aquatic ecosystems (Pawlowski et al., 2018). On the other hand, the LM method is also not devoid of error. The diatom analysis could take into account the forms represented by frustules of dead diatoms, which, due to their siliceous composition, can be retained for a long time in the reservoir (Rivera et al., 2018b; Selivanova et al., 2019). This can be confirmed by the fact that, for example, Navicula sp. and Nitzschia sp. were detected in the assemblage of microalgae of the middle course of the Malaya Samoroda River only by the LM method, but they were not registered with NGS.

At the same time, it should be noted that the dominant species of the mouth of the Bolshaya Samoroda River identified by us as Cryptophyceae sp., according to the LM data, has a morphological similarity with the predominant according to the NGS data Hansia phi J. A. Deane (US3126.1). The first description of the H. phi is given in Deane et al. (1998). Thus, the length of the algae cells which we found varies from 7 to 10 µm, and the width is 5 µm. There are two flagella at the anterior end of the cell at the base of the reservoir (furrow). The reservoir (furrow) is lined with ejesomes and reaches to the middle of the cell. The shape of the cell is obovate with a truncated anterior end. The posterior end of the cell is somewhat drawn back, forming a narrow tail, which according to Deane et al. (1998) was observed in H. phi during the period of intensive growth of the culture. Morphological similarity between a species which we found and H. phi allows us to conclude that the data about a dominant species estimated by the LM and NGS methods are comparable.

It should also be noted in all previous studies performed using the LM method (Burkova, 2012, 2016; Yatsenko-Stepanova et al., 2015; Gorokhova, 2018), representatives of golden algae (Chrysophyceae) were not identified in the algal flora of saline Elton rivers, with the exception of Salpingoeoa frequentissima (Zacharias) Lemmermann (Burkova, 2016). But, now, according to modern taxonomy, S. frequentissima is classified as a heterotrophic flagellate of the class Chamaflagellatae (Protozoa) (Guiry & Guiry, 2021). The integrated approach used by us allowed us to characterize the diversity of Chrysophyceae of the studied watercourses. Microorganisms of this class were registered only in the algal communities of the Bolshaya Samoroda River, which probably indicates their limited range of halotolerance and their inability to exist in hyperhaline conditions.

The main part of the Cryptophyceae was identified by the NGS method (total 8 sequences, six of which were identified at the genus level as Ochraomas sp., and also Chrysoelidiomoson sp. and Chromulina sp.) and only one taxon (Pseudophytothrix entzei W. Conrad) has been detected by LM. Similarly, for the first time the representatives of Xanthophyceae (Characiopsis sp.) and Haptophyta (Pavkova sp.) were found in communities of the mouth and middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River by NGS. Thus, it should be emphasized that each of the methods under consideration has its own specific disadvantages. At the same time, the use of the NGS method in combination with the traditional morphological method in the analysis of algae biodiversity increases the reliability of the results obtained.

A comparison of the species diversity of the algae flora of the Bolshaya Samoroda and Malaya Samoroda Rivers revealed an extremely low level of similarity (6 common taxa). Communities of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the Bolshaya Samoroda River (minohaline river) were characterized by high species diversity, whereas the algae flora of the hyperhaline Malaya Samoroda River was represented by only three phyla of microalgae and Cyanobacteria. Our results are in good agreement with the data of other authors, which also indicate decrease in species richness and simplification of the structure of algae flora in hyperhaline conditions (Gorokhova & Zinchenko, 2014; Afonina & Tashlykova, 2016; Skarlato & Telesh, 2017). Only three taxa were identified in each point of sampling (in the range of salinity from 10 to 85 ppt). These are Dunaliella sp., Nanochloris sp., T. cordiformis (LM)/T. indica (NGS). Algae of the above genera are well studied, as they are considered today as promising sources of carotenoids and polysaturated fatty acids (Solovchenko et al., 2015; Mohammadi et al., 2015; Almutairi & Toulibah, 2017). In particular, extensive material has been accumulated indicating a wide range of halotolerances of these algae (Masuky et al., 2007; Saadouhi et al., 2016; Ishikawa et al., 2016), which explains their detection at each point of sampling.

Conclusion

Thus, for the first time, an assessment was made of the species diversity of the assemblages of microalgae and Cyanobacteria of the saline rivers of the Elton Lake Basin (Malaya Samoroda River and Bolshaya Samoroda River) by a combined approach using morphological analysis and high-throughput sequencing. The species diversity revealed with NGS was higher compared to that estimated by the morphological method. Next-generation sequencing allowed us to refine and expand the list of microalgae taxa in the studied saline rivers due to detection of difficult species to identify, low-abundance taxa, as well as extremely small-cell forms. Generally, 91 taxa of microorganisms were identified by integrated approach in the assemblages of microalgae and Cyanobacteria in the middle course of the Bolshaya Samoroda River, and 60 taxa — in the river mouth. The species diversity of those assemblages in the hypersaline Malaya Samoroda River was lower: 27 taxa from the middle course and 23 taxa from the mouth. Eighteen taxa have been recorded for the first time in the Bolshaya Samoroda River, belonging to the phyla Chlorophyta (5), Ochrophyta (4), Euglenozoa (4), Cryptophyta (3), Haptophyta (1), Cyanobacteria (1). Seven taxa have been detected for the first time in the algal and cyanobacterial assemblages of the Malaya Samoroda River from the phyla Chlorophyta (4), Cryptophyta (1), and Cyanobacteria (2). Some discrepancies between the data obtained by light microscopy and next-generation sequencing indicate the advantage of simultaneous use of both methods for study of algal communities.
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